



Pacing and Body Weight Changes During a Mountain Ultramarathon: Sex Differences and Performance

by

Ignacio Martínez-Navarro^{1,2}, Antonio Montoya-Vieco^{1,2}, Eladio Collado³,
Barbara Hernando⁴, Carlos Hernando^{5,6}

The study was aimed at comparing pacing adopted by males and females in a 107-km mountain ultramarathon and assessing whether pacing-related variables were associated with intracompetition body weight changes and performance. Forty-seven athletes (29 males; 18 females) were submitted to a cardiopulmonary exercise test before the race. Athletes were also weighted before the start of the race, at three midpoints (33 km, 66 km and 84 km) and after the race. Pacing was analyzed using absolute and relative speeds and accelerometry-derived sedentary time spent during the race. Results showed that females spent less sedentary time (4.72 ± 2.91 vs. $2.62 \pm 2.14\%$; $p = 0.035$; $d = 0.83$) and displayed a smaller body weight loss (3.01 ± 1.96 vs. $4.37 \pm 1.77\%$; $p = 0.048$; $d = 0.77$) than males. No significant sex differences were revealed for speed variability, absolute and relative speed. In addition, finishing time was correlated with: speed variability ($r = 0.45$; $p = 0.010$), index of pacing ($r = -0.63$; $p < 0.001$) and sedentary time ($r = 0.64$; $p < 0.001$). Meanwhile, intracompetition body weight changes were related with both the absolute and relative speed in the first and the last race section. These results suggest that females, as compared with males, take advantage of shorter time breaks at aid stations. Moreover, performing a more even pacing pattern may be positively associated with performance in mountain ultramarathons. Finally, intracompetition body weight changes in those races should be considered in conjunction with running speed fluctuations.

Key words: speed variability, accelerometry, cardiopulmonary exercise test.

Introduction

Sex differences in factors affecting ultraendurance performance have become a matter of debate of a growing number of studies (Temesi et al., 2015; Vest et al., 2018). Although there is no clear consensus, it seems that the performance gap between males and females is reduced in ultramarathons compared to shorter endurance events (Hoffman, 2008; Knechtle et al., 2016; Speechly et al., 1996). One of the reasons to explain this fact is that females usually adopt a better pacing strategy in ultraendurance events than males (Sandbakk et al., 2018).

Pacing can be defined as the pattern of power output or speed fluctuation during a competition (Abbiss and Laursen, 2008; Knechtle et al., 2019). An even-pacing pattern, maintaining a much constant submaximal exercise intensity throughout the race (Abbiss and Laursen, 2008), has been associated with best performances in flat ultramarathons (Bossi et al., 2017; Lambert et al., 2004; Renfree et al., 2016; Takayama et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016). Yet, sustaining a consistent pace in a mountain ultramarathon (MUM) is rather challenging due to wide variations in slope and

¹ - Physical Education and Sports Department, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain.

² - Sports Health Unit, Vithas 9 de Octubre Hospital, Valencia, Spain.

³ - Faculty of Health Sciences, Jaume I University, Castellon, Spain.

⁴ - Department of Medicine, Jaume I University, Castellon, Spain.

⁵ - Sport Service, Jaume I University, Castellon, Spain.

⁶ - Department of Education and Specific Didactics, Jaume I University, Castellon, Spain.

terrain conditions (Vernillo et al., 2017). Hoffman (2014) analyzed pacing of most successful runners of a 161-km MUM from 1985 through 2013 and confirmed that lower coefficients of variation (CV) in speed were associated with better performances. Similarly, Balducci et al. (2016) expressed the average race speed of each athlete as a percentage of their speed on the first race segment (i.e., initial 19.7 km) and found that this index was inversely correlated with finishing time in a 75-km MUM. However, using GPS-derived data, Kerherve et al. (2016) found no correlations between overall performance and variables of pacing in a 173-km MUM. In fact, the same authors reported an inverse relationship between finishing time and speed loss magnitude in a 106-km MUM (Kerherve et al., 2015). Nevertheless, no previous studies have focused on possible sex differences in pacing adopted during a MUM.

On the other hand, a recent review has shown that ultradistance events (i.e., Ironman triathlons) lead to a moderate state of dehydration (Sousa et al., 2019). However, little is known about how body weight (BW) changes across intermediate sections of ultramarathons. The steepest decrease in BW during a 24-h ultramarathon has been described to occur in the first four hours of the race (Kao et al., 2008). Similarly, Hoffman and Stuempfle (2014) showed that a greater BW loss in a 161-km MUM occurred at the initial 48 km. Moreover, in those studies finishing time was either uncorrelated (Hoffman and Stuempfle, 2014) or inversely correlated with the final BW loss (Kao et al., 2008). Unfortunately, those authors did not report whether serial BW changes were related to speed at the corresponding race segments. Meanwhile, Landman et al. (2012) measured BW at mid-race of a 160-km MUM and reported no relationship between BW loss and speed in this initial 80 km. No other study has assessed intracompetition BW changes during an ultramarathon and its relationship with pacing.

In light of the rising popularity of MUMs and considering the paucity of studies assessing pacing patterns based on relative speed (i.e., percentage of threshold speed obtained in a maximal incremental exercise test) and the objective quantification of sedentary time during the race (i.e., by means of accelerometry), the purpose of our study was three-fold. First, to

contribute to existing literature regarding the assessment of possible relationships between pacing-related variables and performance in a MUM; second, we aimed to compare pacing adopted by males and females in a 107-km MUM; and third, we wanted to assess whether pacing-related variables were associated with body weight changes during the race. Our hypotheses were as follows: (1) despite contradictory results in previous studies (Balducci et al., 2016; Hoffman, 2008; Kerherve et al., 2015, 2016), lower variability in race speed might be associated with better finishing times; (2) females would be able to maintain a higher average speed relative to their threshold speed (Speechly et al., 1996); (3) females may show a more stable speed during the race (Sandbakk et al., 2018); (4) body weight loss during the race could be related to speed (Hoffman et al., 2013; Martinez-Navarro et al., 2018).

Methods

Participants

Forty seven recreational ultra-endurance athletes (29 males and 18 females; 41.13 ± 5.28 years) were recruited to participate in the study. Selected athletes were required to have previously completed at least one ultramarathon (>60 km). All participants were fully informed of the study procedures and gave their written consent to participate. They were also allowed to withdraw from the study at will. A questionnaire was used to collect demographic information as well as training and competition history (Martinez-Navarro et al., 2020). The investigation was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approval for the project was obtained from the research Ethics Committee of the University Jaume I of Castellon (Expedient Number CD/007/2019). This study was enrolled in the ClinicalTrials.gov database, with the code number NCT03990259 (www.clinicaltrials.gov).

Experimental design

This research was developed at the Penyagolosa Trails CSP race in 2019. The trail consisted of 107.4 km, starting at an altitude of 40 m and finishing at 1280 m above the sea level, with a total positive and negative elevation of 5604 and 4356 m, respectively. Temperature at the start was 17.2°C, and it ranged between 10.6 and 18.8°C at the midrace, and between 1.5 and 20.1°C

in the finish line. Humidity at the start was 39%, and it ranged between 18 and 40% at the midrace, and between 29 and 54% in the finish line. Between 2 to 4 weeks prior to the race, participants were subjected to a cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET). In addition, BW was measured within 1 h before the start of the race, at three midpoints (33, 66, and 84 km) and immediately after crossing the finishing line. Lastly, pacing was assessed through the analysis of absolute and relative running speed along with sedentary time measured by accelerometry throughout the race.

Cardiopulmonary exercise test

The CPET was performed on a treadmill (H/P/cosmos pulsar, H/P/cosmos sports & medical GmbH, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany). Participants were asked to attend the laboratory in a fasted state (>6 h) and maintain their habitual mixed macronutrient diet the day before the test. Vigorous exercise was not allowed for 48 h before and no training was permitted for 24 h before testing. Tests were performed under standard environmental conditions (room temperature between 20 and 22°C) within the same time frame (between 16 and 18 PM). Pulmonary VO_2 and VCO_2 were measured breath-by-breath using an automated online system (Oxycon Pro system, Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany). The gas analysis system was calibrated for ambient temperature and humidity, air flow and VO_2 and VCO_2 concentrations (with a 4.96% CO_2 – 12.10% O_2 gas mixture) before each testing session according to manufacturer instructions (Rietjens et al., 2001). After a four min warm up at $6 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, the CPET protocol started at $8 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and speed was increased $1 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ every 2 min. When participants reached a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) > 1.0 increments of $1 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ were induced every minute until voluntary exhaustion. This CPET layout was employed to enable the calculation of fat oxidation rates as a part of another study. $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ values were accepted when a plateau (an increase of $<2 \text{ ml/kg/min}$) or a decline in VO_2 was reached despite increasing workloads and an RER above 1.15 was achieved. If this criterion was not met, $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ value was taken, defined as the highest VO_2 measured over a 30 s period. First and second ventilatory thresholds (VT_1 and VT_2) were determined using Skinner and McLellan's (1980) guidelines by two independent researchers.

Body weight measurements

BW measurements were made with calibrated electronic scales (Seca 813, Vogel and Halke; Hamburg, Germany) which were on firm surfaces. Prior to the event, the scales were examined for consistency. Following a previous study (Hoffman and Stuempfle, 2014), pre-race, in-race and post-race measurements were made with athletes wearing running gear and shoes, but other items such as waist packs and hydration vests were removed and nothing was permitted in the runner's hands.

Pacing analysis

The race was divided into four sections based on the three main aid stations established by the organizers (33rd km, 66th km and 84th km; 0-33 km, 33-66 km, 66-84 km and 84-107 km), partial times were obtained from race results (LiveTrail®, LiveTrail SARL, France) and flat-equivalent mean running speed was calculated according to the procedure established by Saugy et al. (2013). Absolute ($\text{Speed}_{\text{ABS}}$) and relative values (i.e., the percentage of the velocity at VT_2 reached at the CPET) ($\text{Speed}_{\text{VT}_2}$) were retained for statistical analysis. Owing to the possible accumulation of long bouts of rest at the aid stations, thus affecting the race pace, flat-equivalent mean running speed was also computed excluding sedentary time at each race segment ($\text{Speed}_{\text{NONSED}}$). For that purpose, participants were equipped with a GENEActiv accelerometer (Activinsights, Ltd., Kimbolton, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom) during the race and sedentary time (in min, $\text{SedTime}_{\text{ABS}}$; and expressed as a percentage of total race time, $\text{SedTime}_{\text{REL}}$) was obtained according to the procedure described by Hernando et al. (2018). The index of Pacing (IP, race average speed divided by the average speed on the first 33 km) and the CV of speed along the four race segments were also considered (Balducci et al., 2016).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Baseline variables, BW loss and pacing-related variables ($\text{Speed}_{\text{ABS}}$, $\text{Speed}_{\text{VT}_2}$, $\text{Speed}_{\text{NONSED}}$, $\text{SedTime}_{\text{ABS}}$, $\text{SedTime}_{\text{REL}}$, CV and IP) in males and females were compared using Student's t-tests. A two-factor repeated-measures ANOVA was conducted, with

'Sex' as a between-factor and 'Race Section' (1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th race segment) as a within-factor, to assess the possible effect of both factors and their interaction on BW and pacing-related variables (Speed_{ABS}, Speed_{VT2}, Speed_{NONSED}, SedTime_{ABS}). For that purpose, BW values at the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th race segment were expressed as a percentage of pre-race value for each participant. Whenever the Mauchly's Sphericity test was violated, necessary technical corrections were performed using the Greenhouse-Geisser test; and for each ANOVA, if a significant main effect or interaction was identified, Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons were conducted. On the other hand, Pearson correlations were used: (1) to analyze possible relationships between BW and pacing-related variables (Speed_{ABS}, Speed_{VT2}, Speed_{NONSED}, SedTime_{ABS}) in the four race segments and the overall race; (2) to assess whether performance was associated with SedTime_{ABS}, CV and IP.

The meaningfulness of the outcomes was estimated through the partial estimated effect size (η^2 partial) for ANOVA and Cohen's *d* effect size for pairwise comparisons. In the latter case, a Cohen's *d* < 0.5 was considered small; between 0.5-0.8, moderate; and greater than 0.8, large. Likewise, correlations > 0.5 were considered strong, 0.3-0.5, moderate and < 0.3, small. The level of significance was set at *p*-value < 0.05 and data were presented as means and standard deviations (\pm SD).

Results

From the initial sample of 47 participants, 4 participants did not start the race due to injury and 32 athletes successfully completed the race, i.e., 19 males (59%) and 13 females (41%). The finishers/starters ratio for the participants of the present study (i.e. 74.4%) was similar to the ratio when all race participants were considered (73.8%), whereas the average finish time (21 h 23 min \pm 3 h 28 min) was somewhat faster when all race participants were considered (20 h 24 min \pm 3 h 11 min). Values of the CPET and training-related variables of finishers are displayed in Table 1. Males exhibited a higher BMI (23.59 \pm 1.61 vs. 21.75 \pm 2.04 kg/m²; *p* = 0.008; *d* = 1.05) and largely higher values of VO_{2peak} (55.79 \pm 4.47 vs. 51.53 \pm 5.22 ml O₂/kg/min; *p* = 0.019; *d* = 0.91), V_{MAX} (16.89 \pm 1.48 vs. 14.44 \pm 1.35 km/h; *p* < 0.001; *d* = 1.75), V_{VT1} (11.77 \pm 1.17 vs. 10.68 \pm 0.97 km/h;

p = 0.010; *d* = 1.01) and V_{VT2} (13.78 \pm 1.21 vs. 12.51 \pm 1.3 km/h; *p* = 0.008; *d* = 1.04). Conversely, any significant sex differences were identified neither in age nor in training-related variables, although weekly training volume was nearly significantly greater among males (76.21 \pm 25.38 vs. 61.46 \pm 13.26 km; *p* = 0.065; *d* = 0.70).

On the other hand, no significant sex differences were found in SPEED_{ABS} (8.17 \pm 1.66 vs. 7.38 \pm 0.78 km/h; *p* = 0.122; *d* = 0.59) and SPEED_{VT2} (59.01 \pm 8.78 vs. 59.08 \pm 4.25 %; *p* = 0.977; *d* = 0.01). Conversely, males developed a higher SPEED_{NONSED} (8.62 \pm 1.51 vs. 7.57 \pm 0.74 km/h; *p* = 0.028; *d* = 0.86) and their BW loss was greater (-4.37 \pm 1.77 vs. -3.01 \pm 1.96%; *p* = 0.048; *d* = 0.77). The difference in SedTime_{ABS} approached statistical significance (64.44 \pm 48.20 vs. 36.38 \pm 33.72 min; *p* = 0.082; *d* = 0.67) and the difference in SedTime_{REL} was indeed significant (4.72 \pm 2.91 vs. 2.62 \pm 2.14 %; *p* = 0.035; *d* = 0.83). On the contrary, no sex differences were observed in the IP (0.86 \pm 0.09 vs. 0.87 \pm 0.05; *p* = 0.765; *d* = 0.11) and CV (19.53 \pm 5.50 vs. 19.69 \pm 4.68; *p* = 0.930; *d* = 0.03).

Univariate contrast analysis showed a significant effect for 'Race segment' on Speed_{ABS} [*F* = 223.329; *p* < 0.01; η^2 partial = 0.88], Speed_{NONSED} [*F* = 220.558; *p* < 0.01; η^2 partial = 0.88], Speed_{VT2} [*F* = 220.858; *p* < 0.01; η^2 partial = 0.88], SedTime_{ABS} [*F* = 15.443; *p* < 0.01; η^2 partial = 0.35] and BW [*F* = 87.974; *p* < 0.01; η^2 partial = 0.76]. On the other hand, 'Sex' factor significantly affected Speed_{NONSED} [*F* = 5.361; *p* = 0.028; η^2 partial = 0.16] and their effect approached statistical significance on SedTime_{ABS} [*F* = 3.243; *p* = 0.082; η^2 partial = 0.10]. Meanwhile, 'Race segment \times Sex' interaction only significantly affected SedTime_{ABS} [*F* = 2.901; *p* = 0.039; η^2 partial = 0.09]. Bonferroni adjusted pairwise comparisons showed that males spent more SedTime_{ABS} than females in the first (4.56 \pm 2.97 vs. 1.46 \pm 1.45 min; *p* = 0.002; *d* = 1.29), second (31.67 \pm 27.28 vs. 11.84 \pm 10.71 min; *p* = 0.019; *d* = 0.92) and third segment (7.22 \pm 6.07 vs. 2.31 \pm 2.02; *p* = 0.009; *d* = 1.04) of the race (Figure 1). At the same time, males ran at a higher Speed_{NONSED} in the first (10.44 \pm 1.62 vs. 9.27 \pm 1.16 km/h; *p* = 0.034; *d* = 0.83), second (8.67 \pm 1.48 vs. 7.63 \pm 0.94 km/h; *p* = 0.034; *d* = 0.83), third (8.59 \pm 1.62 vs. 7.54 \pm 0.75 km/h; *p* = 0.040; *d* = 0.80), and fourth segment of the race (6.96 \pm 1.51 vs. 6.01 \pm 0.60 km/h; *p* = 0.039; *d* = 0.80) (Figure 2). Regarding Speed_{ABS}, the difference between males and females only

approached statistical significance in the first (10.22 ± 1.64 vs. 9.23 ± 1.15 km/h; $p = 0.069$; $d = 0.70$) and fourth segment of the race (6.54 ± 1.60 vs. 5.71 ± 0.75 km/h; $p = 0.095$; $d = 0.64$); whereas no sex differences were identified at any race section in Speed_{V_{T2}} (Figure 3) and BW (Figure 4).

Results from the first subset of correlational analyses are presented in Table 2. BW significantly and moderately correlated in the first race segment with SPEED_{ABS} ($r = -0.43$; $p = 0.021$), SPEED_{V_{T2}} ($r = -0.46$; $p = 0.012$) and SPEED_{NONSED} ($r = -0.43$; $p = 0.020$). Similarly, BW was significantly

and moderately associated with SPEED_{ABS} ($r = -0.46$; $p = 0.009$), SPEED_{V_{T2}} ($r = -0.50$; $p = 0.003$) and SPEED_{NONSED} ($r = -0.45$; $p = 0.010$) in the fourth race section. However, no significant relationships were identified between BW and pacing-related variables in the second and third race segments. Considering the whole race, BW again correlated with SPEED_{ABS} ($r = -0.37$; $p = 0.034$), SPEED_{V_{T2}} ($r = -0.35$; $p = 0.049$) and SPEED_{NONSED} ($r = -0.38$; $p = 0.034$). Meanwhile, finishing time was associated with the CV ($r = 0.45$; $p = 0.010$), IP ($r = -0.63$; $p < 0.001$) and SedTime_{ABS} ($r = 0.64$; $p < 0.001$).

Table 1
CPET and training-related variables (mean \pm SD)

	All sample (n = 32)	Males (n = 19)	Females (n = 13)	p-value	Cohen's d
Age (years)	41 \pm 6 (31 - 53)	40 \pm 5 (33 - 52)	42 \pm 6 (31 - 53)	0.313	0.38
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.8 \pm 2 (18.4 - 23.6)	23.6 \pm 1.6 (21.4 - 26.7)	21.7 \pm 2 (18.4 - 26.6)	0.008 **	1.05
VO _{2peak} (ml O ₂ /kg/min)	54.1 \pm 5.2 (41.2 - 63.1)	55.8 \pm 4.5 (45.9 - 63.1)	51.5 \pm 5.2 (41.2 - 58.9)	0.019 *	0.91
V _{peak} (km/h)	15.9 \pm 1.9 (11.8 - 19.3)	16.9 \pm 1.5 (13.9 - 19.3)	14.4 \pm 1.4 (11.8 - 15.9)	<0.001**	1.75
V _{V_{T1}} (km/h)	10.8 \pm 1.2 (8.5 - 13.1)	11.2 \pm 1.1 (9.1 - 13.1)	10.1 \pm 0.9 (8.5 - 11.6)	0.004 **	1.17
V _{V_{T2}} (km/h)	13.3 \pm 1.4 (9.9 - 15.8)	13.8 \pm 1.2 (12.1 - 15.8)	12.5 \pm 1.3 (9.9 - 13.9)	0.008 **	1.05
Number of years running	8 \pm 3 (3 - 10)	8 \pm 2 (4 - 10)	8 \pm 3 (3 - 10)	0.969	0.01
Number of races >100 km	2 \pm 3 (0 - 10)	2 \pm 3 (0 - 9)	2 \pm 4 (0 - 10)	0.936	0.03
Weekly training days	5 \pm 1 (3 - 6)	5 \pm 1 (3 - 6)	5 \pm 1 (3 - 6)	0.798	0.10
Double sessions (Yes/No)	37% / 63%	47% / 53%	23% / 77%	0.163	
Weekly running volume (km)	70 \pm 22 (39 - 130)	76 \pm 25 (39 - 130)	61 \pm 13 (40 - 80)	0.065	0.70
Weekly positive elevation (m)	1772 \pm 691 (800 - 3500)	1868 \pm 765 (800 - 3500)	1631 \pm 565 (800 - 3000)	0.348	0.35
Weekly training hours	10 \pm 4 (4 - 20)	10 \pm 4 (5 - 20)	9 \pm 5 (4 - 18)	0.520	0.24
Strength training (Yes/No)	81% / 19%	74% / 26%	92% / 8%	0.361	-
Cycling cross-training (Yes/No)	56% / 44%	47% / 53%	69% / 31%	0.221	-

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; VO_{2peak}, peak oxygen uptake; V_{peak}, peak speed reached at the Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test; V_{V_{T1}}, speed associated with the first ventilatory threshold in the Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test; V_{V_{T2}}, speed associated with the second ventilatory threshold in the Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test; Double sessions, percentage of participants who performed at least one weekly double training session in the previous 3 months; Strength training, percentage of participants who performed at least one weekly strength-training in the previous 3 months; Cycling cross-training, percentage of participants who performed cycling as endurance cross-training in the previous 3 months. * $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$

Table 2

Correlation between BW and pacing-related variables

	Correlation with BW (% pre-race) /p)	(r
<i>1st Race Segment</i>		
Speed _{ABS}	-0.426 / 0.021 *	
Speed _{NONSED}	-0.429 / 0.020 *	
Speed _{VT2}	-0.460 / 0.012 *	
Sedentary Time	0.128 / 0.509	
<i>2nd Race Segment</i>		
Speed _{ABS}	0.065 / 0.725	
Speed _{NONSED}	0.039 / 0.834	
Speed _{VT2}	0.003 / 0.988	
Sedentary Time	-0.160 / 0.390	
<i>3rd Race Segment</i>		
Speed _{ABS}	-0.073 / 0.690	
Speed _{NONSED}	-0.096 / 0.606	
Speed _{VT2}	-0.095 / 0.605	
Sedentary Time	-0.277 / 0.131	
<i>4th Race Segment</i>		
Speed _{ABS}	-0.456 / 0.009 **	
Speed _{NONSED}	-0.454 / 0.010 *	
Speed _{VT2}	-0.504 / 0.003 **	
Sedentary Time	0.278 / 0.130	
<i>Overall race</i>		
Speed _{ABS}	-0.375 / 0.034 *	
Speed _{NONSED}	-0.382 / 0.034 *	
Speed _{VT2}	-0.349 / 0.049 *	
Sedentary Time	0.126 / 0.498	

Abbreviations: Speed_{ABS}, flat-equivalent mean running speed; Speed_{NONSED}, flat-equivalent mean running speed excluding sedentary time; Speed_{VT2}, flat-equivalent mean running speed expressed as a percentage of the velocity at VT₂. * $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$




Figure 1

Evolution of sedentary time

* Significantly different from the preceding race section ($p < 0.05$)

Significantly different from females ($p < 0.05$)




Figure 2

Evolution of race speed (excluding sedentary time)

* Significantly different from the preceding race section ($p < 0.05$)

Significantly different from females ($p < 0.05$)




Figure 3

Evolution of relative speed

* Significantly different from the preceding race section ($p < 0.05$)

Significantly different from females ($p < 0.05$)




Figure 4

Evolution of body weight

* Significantly different from the preceding race section ($p < 0.05$)

Significantly different from females ($p < 0.05$)

Discussion

The present study was aimed at comparing pacing adopted by males and females in a 107-km MUM and assessing whether pacing-related variables were associated with intracompetition BW changes and performance. Our results showed that lower variability in race speed and less sedentary time were related with better finishing times, and BW loss in the initial and final sections of the race was correlated with absolute and relative speed, thus confirming our first and fourth hypothesis. However, contrary to our expectations, females were not able to complete the MUM at a higher average speed relative to their threshold speed and no sex differences were found either in the pacing pattern adopted or among the speed variability indexes (IP and CV). Nevertheless, females took advantage of spending less sedentary time during the race than males.

Previous studies have displayed contrasting results regarding a possible relationship between speed variability and performance in the MUM, with some investigations demonstrating a positive association (Balducci et al., 2016; Hoffman, 2014), while others showing no correlation or even an inverse relationship (Kerherve et al., 2015, 2016). The correlation we found between the CV and IP with finishing time thus contribute to the existing literature and adds further evidence supporting the thesis that a more regular pacing pattern with a lesser difference in speed between the initial and the final stage of the race is related to better performance in MUMs, as it has been largely proved for flat ultramarathons (Bossi et al., 2017; Lambert et al., 2004; Renfree et al., 2016; Takayama et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016).

On the other hand, the positive relationship between average $SPEED_{ABS}$ and $SPEED_{VT2}$ with BW loss confirmed the results of several previous studies on flat ultramarathons and MUMs (Hoffman et al., 2013; Kao et al., 2008; Martínez-Navarro et al., 2018). Indeed, it has been recently argued that BW losses between 1.9-5% seem advisable to sustain body water balance and maintain euhydration during ultramarathons lasting for approximately 25-30 h (Hoffman et al., 2018). In that line, Mueller et al. (2013) demonstrated that BW loss following an Ironman was due to a 28% loss in fat mass and a 72% loss

in lean body mass, the latter being attributable to a loss of glycogen, as fuel for energy production, and the corresponding loss of body water. Therefore, considering the results from Mueller et al. (2013) and the positive correlations found between $SPEED_{ABS}$ and $SPEED_{VT2}$ with relative BW at those race segments where BW loss was greater (i.e., the first and the last section of the race, Figure 4), it seems that intracompetition BW loss during MUMs mainly reflect energy expenditure and it is indicative of superior performance (Landman et al., 2012; Martínez-Navarro et al., 2018; Mueller et al., 2013).

The absence of sex differences in the IP and CV, along with the similar pacing pattern adopted by males and females (Figure 2 and 3), suggest that females did not take advantage of performing a more even pacing strategy during the race. Neither females ran at a higher $Speed_{VT2}$ than males. This disagrees with the study of Speechly et al. (1996), in which female runners were able to sustain a greater fraction of their VO_{2max} during a marathon and a 90-km race compared to their male counterparts. Further studies are warranted to ascertain why the performance gap between males and females is reduced in MUMs compared to shorter endurance events. Regarding sex differences in pacing, Renfree et al. (2016) reported that females showed less speed variability than males during a 100-km race. Likewise, it was consistently demonstrated that females maintained a more regular pace in road marathons, even when controlling for training experience and the performance level (Deaner et al., 2015). Conversely, Bossi et al. (2017) revealed no sex differences in the pacing pattern adopted in a 24-h running race. Therefore, it could be that the longer and the more uneven the race is (i.e., 24-h vs. 100-km and MUMs vs. flat ultramarathons), the lesser the sex differences in the pacing pattern adopted.

Our results also showed that females spent less sedentary time during the MUM than males (Figure 1). At the same time, the amount of sedentary time correlated with finishing time. Hence, despite the absence of sex differences in classic pacing-related variables, our results suggest that females probably benefited from stopping less time at aid stations, and this could be also considered to constitute a better pacing strategy. In fact, $Speed_{ABS}$ was not significantly

different between male and female runners, whereas Speed_{NONSED} was. Besides, males reached significantly larger values of VO_{2peak}, V_{MAX}, V_{VT1} and V_{VT2} at the CPET, while the difference in finishing times was not significant. In former studies, total time stopped (measured with a GPS) was found to be inversely correlated with performance in a 106-km MUM (Kerherve et al., 2015), although not in a 173-km MUM (Kerherve et al., 2016). Then, we could assume that reducing time at aid stations is of greater importance in MUMs of about 100-km length, but not so much in longer races. Meanwhile, Knechtle et al. (2019) reported that females spent less time than males on Ironman transitions. Nonetheless, transition times in Ironman events usually represent about 1% of the total race time (Knechtle et al., 2019), whereas in our race sedentary time was $3.85 \pm 2.85\%$ of the total race time.

In summary, spending less time at aid stations seems to constitute a good pacing

strategy in MUMs of about 100-km length. At the same time, we reinforced the notion that smaller speed variability in MUMs was associated with better performance, as this has been largely proved for flat ultramarathons. Lastly, our results suggest that BW changes during ultraendurance events should be analyzed in conjunction with running speed fluctuations. Thus, greater BW losses could be expected among faster runners and during the faster sections of those races. Notwithstanding, there are some limitations in our study which should be acknowledged. We failed to record fluid and food ingested by runners at the aid stations and during the race. In addition, we could only collect HR data from a reduced number of participants so HR-based energy expenditure estimations could not be performed. Therefore, further studies overcoming these limitations are necessary to confirm our findings.

Acknowledgements

Current research could be carried out thanks to the financial support of the Vithas Hospitals group, Penyalgosa Trails and Catedra Endavant Villarreal CF de l'Esport. Authors are also grateful to all the staff involved in the organization of the race and all runners and volunteers participating in this study. The funders had no involvement in the study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, writing of the manuscript and decision to submit the paper for publication.

References

- Abbiss, C. R., & Laursen, P. B. (2008). Describing and understanding pacing strategies during athletic competition. *Sports Med*, 38(3), 239-252. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200838030-00004>
- Balducci, P., Cléménçon, M., & Hautier, C. (2016). "Relatively" slow and steady wins the race. *Journal of Sport and Human Performance*, 4(4).
- Bossi, A. H., Matta, G. G., Millet, G. Y., Lima, P., Pertence, L. C., de Lima, J. P., & Hopker, J. G. (2017). Pacing Strategy During 24-Hour Ultramarathon-Distance Running. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 12(5), 590-596. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2016-0237>
- Deaner, R. O., Carter, R. E., Joyner, M. J., & Hunter, S. K. (2015). Men are more likely than women to slow in the marathon. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 47(3), 607-616. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000432>
- Hernando, C., Hernando, C., Collado, E. J., Panizo, N., Martinez-Navarro, I., & Hernando, B. (2018). Establishing cut-points for physical activity classification using triaxial accelerometer in middle-aged recreational marathoners. *PLoS One*, 13(8), e0202815. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202815>
- Hoffman, M. D. (2008). Ultramarathon trail running comparison of performance-matched men and women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 40(9), 1681-1686. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318177eb63>
- Hoffman, M. D. (2014). Pacing by winners of a 161-km mountain ultramarathon. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 9(6), 1054-1056. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2013-0556>
- Hoffman, M. D., Goulet, E. D. B., & Maughan, R. J. (2018). Considerations in the Use of Body Mass Change to Estimate Change in Hydration Status During a 161-Kilometer Ultramarathon Running Competition. *Sports Med*, 48(2), 243-250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0782-3>

- Hoffman, M. D., Hew-Butler, T., & Stuenkel, K. J. (2013). Exercise-associated hyponatremia and hydration status in 161-km ultramarathoners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 45(4), 784-791. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31827985a8>
- Hoffman, M. D., & Stuenkel, K. J. (2014). Hydration strategies, weight change and performance in a 161 km ultramarathon. *Res Sports Med*, 22(3), 213-225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2014.915838>
- Kao, W. F., Shyu, C. L., Yang, X. W., Hsu, T. F., Chen, J. J., Kao, W. C., Polun, C., Huang, Y. J., Kuo, F. C., Huang, C. I., & Lee, C. H. (2008). Athletic performance and serial weight changes during 12- and 24-hour ultra-marathons. *Clin J Sport Med*, 18(2), 155-158. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e31815cdd37>
- Kerherve, H. A., Cole-Hunter, T., Wiegand, A. N., & Solomon, C. (2016). Pacing during an ultramarathon running event in hilly terrain. *PeerJ*, 4, e2591. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2591>
- Kerherve, H. A., Millet, G. Y., & Solomon, C. (2015). The Dynamics of Speed Selection and Psycho-Physiological Load during a Mountain Ultramarathon. *PLoS One*, 10(12), e0145482. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145482>
- Knechtle, B., de Sousa, C. V., Simoes, H. G., Rosemann, T., & Nikolaidis, P. T. (2019). Effects of The Performance Level and Race Distance on Pacing in Ultra-Triathlons. *J Hum Kinet*, 67, 247-258. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2018-0079>
- Knechtle, B., Kach, I., Rosemann, T., & Nikolaidis, P. T. (2019). The effect of sex, age and performance level on pacing of Ironman triathletes. *Res Sports Med*, 27(1), 99-111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2018.1546703>
- Knechtle, B., Valeri, F., Nikolaidis, P. T., Zingg, M. A., Rosemann, T., & Rust, C. A. (2016). Do women reduce the gap to men in ultra-marathon running? *Springerplus*, 5(1), 672. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2326-y>
- Lambert, M. I., Dugas, J. P., Kirkman, M. C., Mokone, G. G., & Waldeck, M. R. (2004). Changes in Running Speeds in a 100 KM Ultra-Marathon Race. *J Sports Sci Med*, 3(3), 167-173. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24482594>
- Landman, Z. C., Landman, G. O., & Fatehi, P. (2012). Physiologic alterations and predictors of performance in a 160-km ultramarathon. *Clin J Sport Med*, 22(2), 146-151. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e318243ffdc>
- Martinez-Navarro, I., Chiva-Bartoll, O., Hernando, B., Collado, E., Porcar, V., & Hernando, C. (2018). Hydration Status, Executive Function, and Response to Orthostatism After a 118-km Mountain Race: Are They Interrelated? *J Strength Cond Res*, 32(2), 441-449. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001614>
- Mueller, S. M., Anliker, E., Knechtle, P., Knechtle, B., & Toigo, M. (2013). Changes in body composition in triathletes during an Ironman race. *Eur J Appl Physiol*, 113(9), 2343-2352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-013-2670-3>
- Renfree, A., Crivoi do Carmo, E., & Martin, L. (2016). The influence of performance level, age and gender on pacing strategy during a 100-km ultramarathon. *Eur J Sport Sci*, 16(4), 409-415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2015.1041061>
- Rietjens, G. J., Kuipers, H., Kester, A. D., & Keizer, H. A. (2001). Validation of a computerized metabolic measurement system (Oxycon-Pro) during low and high intensity exercise. *Int J Sports Med*, 22(4), 291-294. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-14342>
- Sandbakk, O., Solli, G. S., & Holmberg, H. C. (2018). Sex Differences in World-Record Performance: The Influence of Sport Discipline and Competition Duration. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 13(1), 2-8. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2017-0196>
- Saugy, J., Place, N., Millet, G. Y., Degache, F., Schena, F., & Millet, G. P. (2013). Alterations of Neuromuscular Function after the World's Most Challenging Mountain Ultra-Marathon. *PLoS One*, 8(6), e65596. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065596>
- Skinner, J. S., & McLellan, T. M. (1980). The transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism. *Res Q Exerc Sport*, 51(1), 234-248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.1980.10609285>
- Sousa, C. V., Aguiar, S. D. S., Olher, R. D. R., Sales, M. M., de Moraes, M. R., Nikolaidis, P. T., Rosemann, T., Knechtle, B., & Simoes, H. G. (2019). Hydration Status After an Ironman Triathlon: A Meta-Analysis. *J Hum Kinet*, 70, 93-102. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2018-0096>

- Speechly, D. P., Taylor, S. R., & Rogers, G. G. (1996). Differences in ultra-endurance exercise in performance-matched male and female runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 28(3), 359-365. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-199603000-00011>
- Takayama, F., Aoyagi, A., & Nabekura, Y. (2016). Pacing strategy in a 24-hour ultramarathon race. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 16(2), 498-507.
- Tan, P. L., Tan, F. H., & Bosch, A. N. (2016). Similarities and Differences in Pacing Patterns in a 161-km and 101-km Ultra-Distance Road Race. *J Strength Cond Res*, 30(8), 2145-2155. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001326>
- Temesi, J., Arnal, P. J., Rupp, T., Feasson, L., Cartier, R., Gergele, L., Verges, S., Martin, V., & Millet, G. Y. (2015). Are Females More Resistant to Extreme Neuromuscular Fatigue? *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 47(7), 1372-1382. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000540>
- Vernillo, G., Giandolini, M., Edwards, W. B., Morin, J. B., Samozino, P., Horvais, N., & Millet, G. Y. (2017). Biomechanics and Physiology of Uphill and Downhill Running. *Sports Med*, 47(4), 615-629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0605-y>
- Vest, S. D., Frandsen, J., Larsen, S., Dela, F., & Helge, J. W. (2018). Peak Fat Oxidation is not Independently Related to Ironman Performance in Women. *Int J Sports Med*, 39(12), 916-923. <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0660-0031>

Corresponding author:**Ignacio Martínez-Navarro**

Department of Physical Education and Sports
University of Valencia.
C/Gascó Oliag, 3 - 46010 - Valencia (Spain).
phone number: +34 667331613
E-mail: Ignacio.Martinez-Navarro@uv.es